Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh Vill. Manemjara, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib, Distt. Ropar.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o GM, Pb. Roadways, Roopnagar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, STC Pb., Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:2551 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Nobody on behalf of the appellant

(ii) Respondent: Sh. Dilbagh Singh (Amla Branch) (7837741173)

<u>ORDER</u>

- The RTI application is dated 14.10.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 15.12.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.05.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was iss
- 3. ued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 08.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 4. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Manjit Singh sent an email dated 07.09.2022 to the undersigned Bench stating that he has filed two cases (present appeal case 2551 of 2022 and another appeal case 1260 of 2022) with the same RTI application inadvertently. In that email he requests to close this present case and to continue another appeal case no. 1260 of 2022. This email is taken on record.
- 5. Respondent, Sh. Dilbagh Singh is present for today's hearing.
- 6. As the appellant has withdrawn the present appeal case, no further intervention is required in the present case. Therefore, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Dated: 08.09.2022

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Vishal (9878947779)

s/o Sh. Hari Krishan, R/o Mohalla Nangal Kotli, Gurdaspur

Versus

Public Information Officer Regional Transport Authority,

Gurdaspur

Remanded Back:

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) Regional Transport Authority,

Gurdaspur

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint case No.:331 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Complainant: Sh. Vishal

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- 1. The RTI application is dated 12.04.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 26.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.09.2022 through hearing in the Commission but heard through CISCO Webex application.
- 3. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Vishal states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 4. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor intimation in this regard, which shows his casual approach towards the notice of the Commission which was issued to him dated 13.06.2022.
- 5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint case No.:331 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

Dated: 08.09.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surinder Kumar(9646461146)

s/o Sh. Nauria Ram, R/o W.No.3, Mohalla Bawian Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat, Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Remanded Back:

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat,

Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint case No.:334 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Complainant: Sh. Surinder Kumar

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- The RTI application is dated 15.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2022 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.09.2022 through hearing in the Commission but heard through CISCO Webex application.
- 3. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 4. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor intimation in this regard, which shows his casual approach towards the notice of the Commission which was issued to him dated 13.06.2022.
- 5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint case No.:334 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 08.09.2022

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surinder Kumar(9646461146)

s/o Sh. Nauria Ram, R/o W.No.3, Mohalla Bawian Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat, Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Remanded Back:

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat,

Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint case No.:335 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Complainant: Sh. Surinder Kumar

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- The RTI application is dated 15.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2022 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.09.2022 through hearing in the Commission but heard through CISCO Webex application.
- 3. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 4. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor intimation in this regard, which shows his casual approach towards the notice of the Commission which was issued to him dated 13.06.2022.
- 5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint case No.:335 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 08.09.2022

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surinder Kumar(9646461146)

s/o Sh. Nauria Ram, R/o W.No.3, Mohalla Bawian Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat,

O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat, Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Remanded Back:

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat,

Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint case No.:337 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Complainant: Sh. Surinder Kumar

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- 1. The RTI application is dated 15.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.09.2022 through hearing in the Commission but heard through CISCO Webex application.
- 3. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 4. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor intimation in this regard, which shows his casual approach towards the notice of the Commission which was issued to him dated 13.06.2022.
- 5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint case No.:337 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

Dated: 08.09.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surinder Kumar(9646461146)

s/o Sh. Nauria Ram, R/o W.No.3, Mohalla Bawian Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat,

O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat, Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Remanded Back:

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o EO, Nagar Panchayat,

Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint case No.:338 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Complainant: Sh. Surinder Kumar

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- 1. The RTI application is dated 25.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 08.09.2022 through hearing in the Commission but heard through CISCO Webex application.
- 3. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Surinder Kumar states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
- 4. Neither the respondent PIO is present nor intimation in this regard, which shows his casual approach towards the notice of the Commission which was issued to him dated 13.06.2022.
- 5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint case No.:338 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

6. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

7. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

Dated: 08.09.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Manpreet Kaur (9814067294)

D/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh, Vill. Rau Ke Hithad, Distt. Ferozepur.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP, Ferozepur

First Appellate Authority O/o IGP, Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:2517 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Dated: 08.09.2022

(i) Appellant: Ms. Manpreet Kaur

(ii) Respondent: ASI, Sh. Sukhdev Singh (9463111108)

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The RTI application is dated 19.05.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 23.05.2022 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 30.05.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 08.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 3. In today's hearing, appellant, Ms. Manpreet Kaur states that she is satisfied with the supplied information and requests to close this case.
- 4. Respondent, ASI, Sh. Sukhdev Singh states that a reply in this regard vide letter no. 982 dated 01.09.2022 is sent to the Commission, which is received vide diary no. 20006 dated 05.09.2022 and taken on record.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Balwinder Singh (9041722522)

H.No. 43, Street No.13/5, Near Green Palace, Bank Colony,

Bathinda. Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)

(Regd. Post) O/o Civil Lines, Police Station,

Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority

O/o IGP Office, Bathinda. Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 4113 of 2020 Through CISCO WEBEX

<u>Present:</u> (i) Sh. Varun Bansal Advocate on behalf of appellant (ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

Dated: 08.09.2022

- 1. The above mentioned case was earlier heard on 26.05.2022 vide which penalty amounting Rs. 10,000/- was imposed on the respondent PIO along with final opportunity was awarded to him to supply the point-wise information to the appellant. Matter was fixed for hearing on 14.07.2022, which was not heard and fixed for today i.e. 08.09.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, representative of the appellant, Advocate, Sh. Varun Bansal states that two contradictory reply/information is received from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Respondent PIO is not present but a reply along with supporting documents (vide letter no. 1140/5A/ RTI dated 13.07.2022) is received in the Commission vide diary no. 16248 dated 25.07.2022, which is taken on record. In that reply, copy of challan of penalty deposited (Receipt No. 2625558 dated 12.07.2022) is also attached.
- 4. Respondent PIO is directed to supply an affidavit in original to the appellant mentioning therein that information as per RTI application dated 16.07.2020 had already been supplied and nothing is left to be supplied. He is also directed to supply a copy of the same to the Commission, within ten days after receipt of this order. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post for his ready reference.
- 5. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate(9041004313)

Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur 144410.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Council, Phagwara,

Distt. Kapurthala

First Appellate Authority O/o Municipal Council, Phagwara, Distt. Kapurthala

Respondent

Appeal case No.: 2204 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Dated: 08.09.2022

- (i) Appellant: Sh. Tejinder Singh.
- (ii) Respondent: Sh. Mukul Kesar (RTI -Superintendent) (9417883355)

ORDER:

- The above mentioned case was earlier heard by the Bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Hem Inder Singh on 16.11.2021 and case was allocated to the undersigned Bench on 03.01.2022 vide office order passed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner. Accordingly, the case was fixed for hearing today i.e. 08.09.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are present. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and requests to close this case.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Mukul Kesar states that a two replies dated 05.09.02022 and 06.09.2022 were sent to the Commission, which are received vide diary numbers 20285 dated 07.09.2022 and 20286 dated 07.09.2022, which are taken on record.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Sh. Tejinder Singh (9041004313)

Advocate Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur 144410

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Member Secy, State Transport Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 08.09.2022

O/o Deputy State Transport Commission, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1013 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant present.

(ii) For the respondent: Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO) at PSIC Office.

ORDER

- Refer earlier order dated 03.08.2022 vide which final opportunity was awarded to Ms.
 Kulwinder Kaur to supply the information within seven days from today after collecting the
 same from the concerned office with a copy to the Commission, as this case is delaying
 unnecessarily for disposal. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 08.09.2022 i.e.
 today.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent, Ms. Kulwinder Kaur states that an email is sent to the appellant today (08.09.2022) and she submits a copy of the supplied information to the Commission vide letter no. 18590 dated 07.09.2022, which is taken on record.
- 3. On this, appellant denied of receiving email from the respondent PIO.
- 4. After gone through the available documents and discussing with the appellant in detail, appellant expresses his dissatisfaction and requests the Commission to direct the respondent PIO to supply the university/board/institution name of 5 data entry operators in connection with their qualifications along with information in connection with point no. 2 (ਇਹਨਾ ਡਾਟਾ ਐਂਟਰੀ ਓਪਰੇਟਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਕਿਥੇ ਕਿਥੇ ਨਿਯਕਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹਨਾ ਨੇ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਕਿਥੋਂ ਪਾਸ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ)
- 5. Respondent PIO, Ms. Kulwinder Kaur is directed to supply the information as appellant pointed out in para-4 of this order within seven days from today with a copy to the Commission.
- 6. Appellant is on liberty, if he has not received the information from the respondent PIO as per the given directions.
- 7. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Capt. Rajdeep Singh (9872800940)

H.No.2170, Phase 2UE, Dugri, Ludhiana 141013, Shiv Mandir Road, Civil Lines, Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Executive Officer, Punjab State Civil Aviation Council, Patiala

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 08.09.2022

O/o Punjab State Civil Aviation Council, Patiala

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 4777 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Appellant- Capt. Rajdeep Singh.

Respondent – Sh. Rajeev (Admn. Officer) (7888808669) at PSIC Office.

Order:

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.07.2022. vide which respondent, Sh. Rajeev intimated the Commission that after going through the deficiency letter, complete information as per RTI application had already been supplied to the appellant. Nothing is left to be supplied. Appellant was absent and final opportunity was awarded to the appellant to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing which case will be decided on merit basis. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 08.09.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present and after having detailed discussion, it is observed that appellant is not satisfied with the supplied information in connection with point no. 7. Appellant also adds that he wants to know Capt. Abhay Chandra signed the Note sheet or not. He further mentions that signature of Capt. Abhay Chnadra are forged.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Rajeev states that he has sent an email as he assured on the previous hearing held on 26.07.2022. He also mentions that certificate is given by Abhay Chandra stating that the comments endorsed on the Note Sheet dated 03-01-2009 of the Patiala Aviation Club were signed by him.
- 4. After gone through the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply an affidavit in original to the appellant in connection with point no. 7 of the RTI application regarding signature with a copy to the Commission within seven days from today positively.
- 5. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Gurwinder Singh (9779100091)

S/o Sh. Nirmal Singh Vill. Devigarh, Tehsil Derabassi, Distt. SAS Nagar-140507

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SDM, Derabassi

First Appellate Authority

O/o DC, Sri. Fatehgarh Sahib Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1712 of 2021 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

(i) Appellant: absent.

(ii) Respondent: Sh. Mahipal Sharma (JA) (9814277677)

ORDER

1. The above mentioned case was fixed for 16.09.2021 which was postponed and fixed for 07.04.2022 and further postponed to 08.09.2022 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Mahipal Sharma states that information was already been supplied to the appellant on 30.09.2021 and deficiency has not been pointed out by the appellant till date. He adds that an email dated 07.04.2022 and a letter no. 323 dated 01.07.2022 (diary no. 14501 dated 04.07.2022) had already been sent to the Commission in this regard. Both email and letter are taken on record.

3. Appellant is absent in spite of registered notices and prior intimation to the Commission. It is also observed that no correspondence is received from the appellant after filing the instant appeal case in the Commission. Appellant filed appeal on 05.04.2021 and respondent supplied information on 30.09.2021 but no response from the appellant.

4. In wake of above, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 08.09.2022 (Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Harvinder Singh, Advocate (9417285539) Chamber No.7009, 7th Floor, District Courts, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o G.M., District Industrial Centre, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o G.M., District Industrial Centre, Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal case No.: 3315 of 2021
Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Appellant-Sh. Harvinder Singh

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Rakesh Kumar (9501019029)

ORDER

Dated: 08.09.2022

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.05.2022 vide which respondent stated that time and again replied were sent to the appellant dated 16.04.2021 (vide letter 2349) and 10.05.2021 (vide letter no. 2664) and 17.06.2021 (vide letter no. 3077) was sent to the appellant. He further requests to the court for an inspection of record by the appellant, which court acceded to and advised the appellant to go for an inspection of record in connection with RTI application. The court fixes the inspection for 16.05.2022 at 11.00 a.m. and the appellant is advised to contact Sh. Rakesh for inspection. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 08.09.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant states that he has received information but after one year, which is very late. He requests the Commission for the action for the same.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Rakesh Kumar states that time and again information was supplied to the appellant which is on record of the Commission. He adds that identified pages (50) were supplied to the appellant on his visit to the respondent's office on 16.05.2022.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and gone through the case file, respondent PIO is directed to deal the RTI application in the stipulated time as per the RTI Act, 2005 so that public will not be harassed and main objective of this Act may achieve which is to promote transparency and accountability in the working of every public authority.
- 5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of**. Copies of this decision be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Sahil Arora (9814420462)

Guru Nanak Nagar, A-593, Partap Nagar, G.T. Road, Amritsar 143001.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1447 of 2021
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

- (i) Sh. Sahil Arora, the appellant.
- (ii) Respondent: Sh. Amandeep Singh (Steno) (9872846966).

- 1. The above mentioned case was earlier heard on 26.05.2022 vide which penalty was enhanced from Rs. 5,000/- to Rs. 10,000/- along with final opportunity was awarded to him to supply the point-wise information to the appellant. Matter was fixed for hearing on 14.07.2022, which was not heard and fixed for today i.e. 08.09.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Sahil Arora states that he is facing issue as he has driving experience which could help him to get license for driving of heavy vehicle whereas RTA, Amritsar issued him a new license.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Amandeep Singh states that penalty amounting Rs.5,000/- had already been paid to the appellant (receipt no. 2421303 dated 01.06.2022) and copy of the same is sent to the Commission via an email dated 02.06.2022, which is received and taken on record. He adds that ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਚ ਕਮ ਕਰਦੇ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਗੁਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ ਕਲਰਕ , ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਮੋਤ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ , ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਇੱਕ ਕਮੇਟੀ ਬਣਾਈ ਗਈ ਸੀ , ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਅਲਮਾਰੀ ਦਾ ਤਾਲਾ ਤੋੜਕੇ ਅੰਦਰ ਪਏ ਡਰਾਈਵਿੰਗ ਲਾਇਸੈਂਸ ਅਤੇ ਆਰ. ਸੀ. ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਲਿਸਟ ਤਿਆਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ | ਕਮੇਟੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਡਰਾਈਵਿੰਗ ਲਾਇਸੈਂਸ ਨੰਬਰ 94275 ਤੋਂ 96060 ਤੱਕ ਦਾ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਉਬਲਬੱਧ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ , ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਤੋਂ ਬਿਨਾ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਡਰਾਈਵਿੰਗ ਲਾਇਸੈਂਸ ਦੀ ਵੈਰੀਫਿਕੇਸ਼ਨ ਕਰਨਾ ਅਸੰਭਵ ਹੈ |
- 4. Respondent, Sh. Amandeep Singh requests to waive off the penalty amounting Rs. 5000/-which was enhanced Rs. 5000/- to Rs. 10000/- vide orders of the Commission dated 26.05.2022. He adds that reply as per official record had already been supplied to the appellant and nothing in this has been concealed.
- 5. After discussing in detail with the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that sufficient and satisfactory reply had already be supplied to the appellant. It is observed that penalty amounting Rs. 5000/- had already been deposited which was imposed vide orders dated 30.03.2022 and compensation Rs. 5,000/- is still to be supplied to the appellant. Respondent PIO is directed to comply with the orders of the dated 30.03.2022 regarding compensation which was awarded to the appellant under intimation to the Commission within ten days after receipt of this order along with directions to send a reply to the Show-Cause which was issued to him vide orders of the Commission dated 17.03.2022.

Appeal Case No.: 1447 of 2021 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

- 6. Request of the respondent is granted; enhanced penalty amounting Rs. 5,000/- is waived off (enhanced vide order dated 26.05.2022) with the directions to deal the RTI application within stipulated time and as per sections of the RTI Act, 2005 which came into existence to promote transparency and accountability of the public authorities, so that objectives of the act could be achieved.
- 7. Appellant is advised to approach the Commission if he has not received the compensation cheque Rs. 5,000/- within fifteen days after receipt of this order.
- 8. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 08.09.2022 (Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Respondent

Sh. Gulshan Kumar (7888456698)

s/o Sh. Devi Dayal,

Vill. Harna, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Pb. Home Guards Head Office, Pb., Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Pb. Home Guards Head Office, Pb.,

Chandigarh

Appeal case No.: 669 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: (i) Appellant: Absent

(ii)For the respondent: Ms. Malkit Kaur (JSO-Admn) (8557951490)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.05.2022.2022 vide which it was observed that requisite information is not 3rd party, so the respondent PIO was directed to provide the information within 10 days. The appellant was also advised to point deficiencies, if any, on its receipt under intimation to the Commission within seven days after receipt of the information, failing which case will be decided on merit basis on the next date of hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.07.2022, which was further postponed and fixed for today i.e. 08.09.2022.

- 2. In today's hearing, appellant is not present but an email dated 04.07.2022 is received from him stating that he does not want to pursue this case further and requests to close this instant appeal case. This email is taken on record.
- 3. As the appellant had withdrawn his case, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 08.09.2022 (Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur,, Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana 141123.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secy, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 08.09.2022

O/o Secy, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1165 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant

(ii)For the respondent: Sh. Rakesh Joshi (PIO)

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with earlier order dated 28.04.2022 vide which final opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the point-wise reply/information to the appellant on his visit on 09.5.2022 at 11.00 a.m., on which both the parties agreed. Matter was fixed for hearing on 14.07.2022, which was postponed and fixed for today i.e. 08.09.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are present.
- 3. Appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and requests to close this case.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100 Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate (9041004313)

Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Pillaur 144410

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Addl. Secy., Transport Commissioner, Pb., Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 08.09.2022

O/O Addl. Secy., Transport Commissioner, Pb., Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1556 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: (i) Sh. Tejinder Singh, the appellant.

(ii)For the respondent: Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO)

ORDER

- Refer earlier orders dated: 17.05.2022 vide which respondent PIO was directed to supply the
 requisite information within 7 days failing which suitable action shall be initiated against the PIO.
 Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.07.2022, which was not heard and fixed for
 today i.e. 08.09.2022.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and requests to close this case.
- 3. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.